



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/614,927	07/08/2003	Cheng T. Horng	HT00-023B	9223		
7590 09/27/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
George O. Saile			MAGEE, CHR	MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R		
28 Davis Avenu Poughkeepsie,	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1 oughkeepsie,	11 12005		2653			
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/614,927	10/614,927 HORNG ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Christopher	R. Magee	2653				
	e MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Re	• •	OD DEDLVIE SET TO	SEVEIDE 2 MONTH	S) EDOM				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to many reply many reply many recommendations.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commond for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 d for reply is specified above, the maximum state ply within the set or extended period for reply eccived by the Office later than three months are not term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statut atutory period will apply and will will by statute, cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
·	•	2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.					
	ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the practi				merits is			
Disposition e	of Claims							
	im(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a		eration.	•				
	im(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) <u></u> Cla	im(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∏ Cla	im(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election re	quirement.					
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Арр	olicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> Ack a) <u></u> A	nowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
1.[Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	ı received.		•			
2.	- ' '							
3.[·	• •		ed in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation	•		a.d				
* See	the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certif	ea copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	OTO-048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) X Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal I		O-152)			
	(s)/Mail Date <u>10/14/2003</u> .		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/614,927

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Horng et al. (hereinafter Horng) (US 6,466,418) in view of Yang et al. (hereinafter Yang) (US 6,452,757).

The applied reference has at least 1 common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or

Application/Control Number: 10/614,927

Art Unit: 2653

subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

- 2. Regarding claim 8, Horng teaches magnetic read head, comprising:
 - a bottom magnetic shield 40;
 - on said bottom magnetic shield, a first layer 42 of aluminum oxide;
 - a spin valve structure (col. 5, lines 1-20) whose top layer is a free layer 47;
 - a second layer 52 of aluminum oxide; and

an upper magnetic shield layer 60, thereby completing the manufacture of a spin valve structure.

Horng does not exemplify the first layer of aluminum oxide, having a thickness between about 40 and 60 Angstroms, a first layer of an insulating material having a dielectric breakdown voltage that is as least 5 times that of aluminum oxide, whereby said first aluminum oxide and high voltage breakdown layers together constitute a lower dielectric layer whose total thickness is less than about 150 Angstroms; on said free layer, having a thickness between about 40 and 60 Angstroms, a second layer of an insulating material having a dielectric breakdown voltage that is as least 5 times that of aluminum oxide; on the second high voltage breakdown layer, a second layer of aluminum oxide having a thickness between about 80 and 120 Angstroms, thereby forming, together with said second high breakdown layer, an upper dielectric layer whose thickness is between about 140 and 160 Angstroms; and on the upper dielectric layer, an upper magnetic shield layer, whereby the magnetic read head having a minimum separation between its upper and lower magnetic shields that is less than 700 Angstroms.

Yang shows dielectric gap layers 304 and 305 can be formed by depositing dielectric material on an underlying layer (i.e., on top of layer 303 or on top of a layer of the sensor 310). Ideally, the material used for a gap layer 304 and 305 should exhibit a high breakdown voltage, a low current leakage and good adhesion to the underlying layer as well as good adhesion with layers applied on top of the gap layer (col. 3, lines 14-26). Figures 4A through 4C show multiple dielectric layers wherein thicker and/or thinner layers can be used (col.3, lines 49-54).

Yang does not set forth the dimensions in these claims. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bottom spin valve of Horng with the claimed dimensions through routine experimentation and optimization in the absence of criticality.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the bottom spin valve of Horng with the dielectric layers of Yang.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to manufacture the bottom spin valve of Horng with the dielectric layers of Yang in order to provide electrical and magnetic interference protection along with corrosion resistance (Yang et al.; col. 1, lines 58-67).

3. Regarding claim 9, Yang teaches the high breakdown voltage material is selected from the group consisting of tantalum oxide, tantalum nitride, aluminum nitride, and zirconium oxide (col. 4, lines 5-11).

Art Unit: 2653

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10-15 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

• Claims 10 and 13 specify a magnetic read head which requires "a pair of parallel first

trenches that are separated by a first distance and that extend part way through the free layer"

and "parallel to the first trenches, a pair of second trenches, and separated from each other by

a second distance that is greater than said first distance, and extending downwards into said

manganese-platinum layer, and filled with material suitable for use as conductive leads."

These features, in combination with other features of claims 10 and 13, are not

anticipated by, nor made obvious over, the prior art of record of Horng et al. (US 6,466,418),

Yang et al. (US 6,452,757) and Mino et al. (US 5,997,698).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (703) 605-4256. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-5: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,927

Art Unit: 2653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher R. Mageet

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2653

September 17, 2004

GEORGE JÆETSCHER PRIMARY EXAMINER